Overview of diagnosis and management of Dyspepsia in family medicine

Authors:

Mona Ali Mansour Qahtani, Trad Abdulaziz Alasiri, Dareen Mohammed Ali Alharbi, Mohammed Ali Alshehri, Bassam Sameer Molawi, Renad Mousa Aljohani

Abstract: This review was aimed to review the evidence discussing the diagnosis and management approaches of Dyspepsia in family medicine in primary care setting. We performed a comprehensive search using electronic databases; MEDLINE, EMBASE, and google scholar, through October, 2017. Search strategies used following MeSH terms in searching via these databases: “Dyspepsia”, “primary care”, “family doctors”, “management”, “diagnosis”. Dyspepsia is an usual offering problem in medical care. There are numerous treatment alternatives available for the management of this condition, and also the decision of which strategy to take depends upon the incidence of esophageal or gastric cancer in the area, patient demographics, patients' level of worry relating to symptoms as well as schedule of endoscopy services. There is no information that plainly suggest the prevalence of one option over the others.

o Introduction:

Each year, an estimated 25% to 30% of the United States population suffers from dyspepsia. Most self-treat with natural remedy and also non-prescription products, yet others look for treatment. Dyspepsia make up an estimated 2% to 5% of primary care visit yearly, primarily by patients that are located to have no natural, or structural, cause for their symptoms [1,2,3].

Medical diagnosis and also treatment of dyspeptic signs as a whole method is a subject for an ongoing debate [3,4]. In patients presenting with predominant or regular symptoms of heartburn, gastroesophageal reflux condition (GERD) is the primary medical diagnosis that should be taken into consideration until proven otherwise [5] The timing of gastroscopy in the disease episode has been gone over as well as uncertainty exists about the significance of the Helicobacter pylori blood test and the breath test. A step-up approach of medication therapy is prevented a top-down technique [3]. The cost effects of the management of dyspepsia are massive, as the quantity of acid suppressive drugs suggested is boosting. In particular, making use of acid reductions treatment is raising quickly, specifically for the so-called 'various other dyspeptic conditions [2,5].

For that reason, dyspepsia has been subcatagorized right into a number of teams such as ulcer-like, reflux-like, nonspecific as well as dysmotility-like dyspepsia1 as well as therapy guided on the basis of the patient's most predominant symptom. Signs and symptom subgrouping alone, however, could not dependably differentiate patients with underlying PUD

or other natural disease from patients with practical dyspepsia as well as has actually not been located to be useful in determining therapy alternatives [5,6].

This review was aimed to review the evidence discussing the diagnosis and management approaches of Dyspepsia in family medicine in primary care setting.

o Methodology:

We performed a comprehensive search using electronic databases; MEDLINE, EMBASE, and google scholar, through October, 2017. Search strategies used following MeSH terms in searching via these databases: “Dyspepsia”, “primary care”, “family doctors”, “management”, “diagnosis”. More articles were found in references lists scanned of included auricles.

o Discussion:

The best approach to the management of dyspepsia continues to be debatable. Management needs to be individualized and think about factors such as the precision of readily available noninvasive diagnostic tests, the prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection in the area, the access and also price of endoscopy, the threats vs. advantages to the patient, as well as the patient's reputation of a provided technique.

➢ Diagnosis:

- **Pathophysiological mechanism for functional dyspepsia (FD):**

There is no solitary pathophysiological device for FD. Several pathological procedures happen alone or in mix. Pathophysiology of FD continues to be a confusing location to discuss.

Extreme acid secretion was thought to be a number of but responsible studies have failed to demonstrate an enhanced basal or peak acid secretion [7,8]. One study nevertheless has revealed an increase in acid secretion in reaction to intravenous gastrin launching peptide in dyspeptic patients that were Helicobacter pylori favorable [9]. Moreover, if signs were due to acid, powerful acid reductions would lead to alleviation of signs and symptoms. Sign reaction to acid reductions in useful dyspeptic patients has actually been suboptimal. There is no proof that straight instillation of acid into the stomach prompt symptoms [10].

The relationship between H. pylori as well as functional dyspepsia continues to be rare [11]. H. pylori has actually not been consistently shown to be more prevalent in dyspeptic patients compared to normal controls. No regular H. pylori details symptomatology has been described and no particular underlying pathophysiological system has actually been recognized. Reaction to therapy tests of H. pylori have not continually resulted in boosted signs and symptoms. It is

likely that H. pylori may be responsible for signs in a subset of patients as well as eliminating the bacterium in these patients would lead to marked amelioration of signs. Gastric mobility problems have been explained in as much as 50% of patients with practical dyspepsia [12,13]. Delayed gastric emptying has actually been most frequently examined as well as has been shown to be very common. The connection of signs and symptoms and motility problems has actually not been regular.

There has been recent interest in the duty of natural hypersensitivity. Concerning half of all patients with practical dyspepsia have abdominal discomfort in reaction to gastric balloon distension as well as at reduced stress compared to healthy and balanced controls [14]. The mechanisms as to just how patients develop gastric understanding abnormalities are uncertain. If main or spine handling pathways are abnormal in patients with useful dyspepsia, gastric reflex relaxation or accommodation has actually been revealed to be impaired but it is unclear.

Psychological aspects consisting of personality type as well as psychiatric problems in functional dyspepsia stay vague. Whether psychological disease is a cause or an outcome of chronic functional dyspepsia and also whether specific personality traits incline a person to establish chronic signs are uncertain [12,14]. Researches accomplished so far have actually focused on consulters; it may be that underlying emotional factors or personality disorders drive patients to seek clinical help for dyspepsia. The duty of stress in provoking signs and symptoms is likewise unclear. Dyspeptic patients have an even more adverse perception of life occasions. This could of course be a result of chronic disease compared to a reason for the dyspepsia [15,16].

The duty of numerous foods additionally stays questionable particularly in Asia. There is widespread idea that chili consisting of foods as an example would certainly prompt signs. This

has actually not been proven. It seems affordable that patients must prevent foods that are known to prompt dyspepsia and this can differ from patient to patient. On the other hand, several patients report an aggravation of signs and symptoms when they miss out on dishes. Extreme usage of food at one dish has actually also been reported to create symptoms as have intake of details foods particularly spicy or "oily" foods. Coffee, smoking as well as alcohol consumption have not been clearly shown to be connected with dyspepsia [16]. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medicines specifically in greater dosages have actually been shown to cause dyspepsia [16] Many medicines, including metformin as well as different anti-biotics, have been thought to cause dyspepsia although the mechanism of symptom generation is unclear (Table 1).

Table1. Medications that can cause dyspepsia

• Acarbose
• Alendronate (Fosamax)
• Antibiotics (e.g. erythromycin, metronidazole)
• Aspirin
• Herbal remedies
• Iron
• Metformin
• NSAIDs
• Orlistat
• Potassium chloride
• Theophylline
• Vitamins

- **History and endoscopy for diagnosis of dyspepsia**

The patient history should analyze the top quality, period and also seriousness of signs and symptoms, along with the visibility of other associated signs. A listing of current drugs, particularly nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications (NSAIDs), over the counter medications

as well as natural treatments should be accumulated. A family history of PUD, alcohol usage and psychological or psychosocial disorders must be kept in mind.

Endoscopy is recommended in patients with dyspepsia who have alarm symptoms symptomatic of possibly major hidden conditions such as PUD, gastric/esophageal cancer and also other unusual upper stomach (GI) diseases (Table 2) [17] New start dyspepsia in any patient older than 55 years of age likewise calls for endoscopy due to the higher incidence of gastric cancer discovered in patients with progressing age [17].

Table 2: Alarm symptoms in dyspepsia necessitating evaluation for peptic ulcer disease or gastrointestinal malignancy.

Alarm symptoms in dyspepsia*
Age >55 years
Gastrointestinal bleeding
Anemia
Palpable abdominal mass
Progressive dysphagia
Early satiety
Anorexia
Odynophagia
Persistent vomiting
Previous documented peptic ulcer
Previous gastric surgery or malignancy
Family history of gastrointestinal cancer
Unexplained weight loss (>10% of body weight)
Lymphadenopathy

Studies from open-access endoscopy practices and also outpatient collection show that just a couple of patients with dyspepsia, in fact, have PUD, reflux esophagitis as well as gastric cancer,

particularly in western populaces [18,19]. In a series of 228 cases of verified top GI cancers cells that originally provided with uncomplicated dyspepsia symptoms, Sundar et at. [20] recognized 5 patients with dyspepsia and no alarm signs that had resectable top GI hatreds. They concluded that endoscopic investigation was not helpful in detecting cancer at an onset.

Phull el at. [21] carried out a retrospective study in Scotland to evaluate the threat of missing a top GI malignancy if the limit for immediate endoscopy in straightforward dyspepsia was boosted from 45 to 55 years old. Of the 3,293 patients diagnosed with upper GI cancer, just 290 (8.8%) were <55 years and also only 21 of these 290 (0.6% of all patients) had no alarm signs and symptoms. They ended that top GI malignancy is unusual before 55 years of age and also the majority of the patients consequently found to have a malignancy provided with alarm system signs. They noted that boosting the age of endoscopy from 45 to 55 years old would certainly not negatively impact the diagnosis of upper GI hatred [21].

Most patients going through endoscopy are diagnosed as having nonulcerative dyspepsia. Hence, it is essential to determine noninvasive tests with high sensitivity, specificity and also unfavorable predictive value that can be utilized to exclude patients without underlying pathological problems [21].

- **Testing for H. pylori:**

H. pylori infection is a major danger factor for PUD, particularly when making use of NSAIDs has actually been omitted. The reasoning for H. pylori testing is to identify those patients with dyspepsia who have underlying PUD. Although many patients with H. pylori infection do not establish PUD, as numerous as 95% of patients with duodenal ulcers as well as 80% with gastric abscess have an H. pylori infection [22].

The occurrence of H. pylori differs in the different populace. Greater prices are discovered in populaces with reduced social financial status. In established nations, the frequency is higher in the immigrant populace. Making use of serologic screening, the prevalence in the United States was 9.4% in submarine workers, 26.2% in non-Hispanic Caucasians, 52.7% amongst non-Hispanic African Americans as well as 61.6% in Mexican Americans [22,23]. In the province of Ourense, Spain, the frequency rate of H. pylori infection was approximately 69% in the basic grown-up populace and in the Czech Republic, it was roughly 41% [24,25].

- **Serologic Testing & urea breath test (UBT):**

Serologic testing is an indirect examination for H. pylori infection that discovers IgG or IgA antibodies to H. pylori and has variable uniqueness. It is an economical device, particularly in populaces where the occurrence of H. pylori is high. However, it might cause the over-treatment of patients because of the high rate of false-positive test results [26]. Loy as well as colleagues [27] carried out a meta-analysis of 21 studies of various industrial sets for H. pylori serology and located an overall sensitivity of 85% and also specificity of 79%. IgG antibodies are likely to remain raised months after treatment for H. pylori infection. Thus, serologic testing for H. pylori is not likely to be really useful for determining whether earlier obliteration therapy succeeded [26].

The urea breath test (UBT) and also the stool antigen test are the most precise noninvasive indirect analysis tests for H. pylori infection and also are recommended specifically in low occurrence populaces [28,29] Unlike serological tests that are just pens for direct exposure to H. pylori and do not set apart existing from previous infection, the UBT as well as the feces antigen test detect energetic infection. According to the American College of Gastroenterology

guidelines, the UBT is the very best nonendoscopic examination for recording H. pyloriinfection [29].

- **Management of dyspepsia**

Management can be divided broadly into non-drug measures and specific drug therapy.

Non-drug measures

Patients with dyspepsia consult physicians for relief of their signs in addition to look for reassurance that they do not experience a significant ailment such as cancer. Time and effort have to be spent by the doctor on a clear description as well as a company reassurance to the patient. The finding of a typical endoscopy is frequently useful in easing a patient's anxiousness. Time must likewise be invested to discover any psychosocial issues as well as steps required to remedy them where feasible. Dietary and also way of living modifications need to be advised where suitable. Reasonable advice regarding timing of dishes and also evasion of particular "aggravating" foods ought to be made. Yet care ought to be taken not to go "too far" with recommendations on avoidance of foods because food aversion is highly individualised. Cessation of cigarette smoking as well as moderation of alcohol consumption might be handy and also are in any case, excellent general medical recommendations. Reduction of stress and anxiety especially at the work environment and also adequate rest as well as sleep might be very important in the amelioration of symptoms and also/ or in helping patients handle their discomfort.

Specific drug treatment:

Many patients expect or perhaps demand particular drug therapy. This is especially so in Asia where patients usually regarded doctors as being much better if they recommend a lot more drugs. A huge part of the effectiveness of these medicines could be because of a sugar pill impact. In functional dyspepsia, sugar pill response rates of as much as 40% have been reported [30].

Treatment is suggested on what doctors perceived as the possible underlying device of useful dyspepsia. It is reasonable to suggest antacids as well as acid-suppressing drugs for ulcer-like symptoms. In an organized analysis of published research studies, H2 antagonists have been revealed to be more reliable compared to sugar pill. However, many studies are of weak style and results remain in actual reality difficult to interpret. Proton pump inhibitors have additionally been examined in FD. In the only Asian research study, no improvement in between PPI therapy compared to sugar pill was kept in mind [31]. In other studies carried out in Western patients, potential misclassification of patients with GERD might have given a favorable response as compared to placebo [32,33]. In the study by Talley et al. [33] enhancement in signs and symptoms were found in patients with ulcer and reflux-like signs and symptoms. No renovation was seen in patients with dysmotility-like dyspepsia.

Prokinetic agents impact motility of the GIT by advertising and also coordinating peristalsis throughout the entire GIT. Most experts would recommend this agent when patients suffer bloating unwanted gas or stomach distension as the main signs and symptoms. Many clinical trials on prokinetic representatives have been performed however lots of deal with little example dimension. Results from this individual studies show a valuable result compared with sugar pill and also this is validated in a methodical analysis of the published information [34] Most of these research studies have utilized cisapride as the prokinetic agent. Nevertheless, this medication is

no longer available for usage in many nations. More recent representatives have currently been introduced including itopride hydrochloride which has actually shown great cause one worldwide multinational study [35]. Various other prokinetic agents which are likewise used include metoclopramide and also domperidone yet these agents have central worried side-effects which are not present with cisapride or itopride. In an earlier meta-analysis, domperidone has actually been shown to have a useful effect on practical dyspepsia compared with placebo. Since of its sedative side-effects is mainly made use of for anti-emesis in scientific technique, metoclopramide.

Other representatives have also been tried as well as they include kappa receptor antagonists and various other novel representatives targeting at visceral hypersensitivity. None have however been utilized with the appropriate appeal. It could be reasonable to attempt one more course of medications if treatment falls short with one representative. Generally, co-prescription with both types of medicines is not recommended. Patients look for relief from their symptoms and also eventually, treatment of FD is empirical [35].

o Conclusion:

Dyspepsia is an usual offering problem in medical care. There are numerous treatment alternatives available for the management of this condition, and also the decision of which strategy to take depends upon the incidence of esophageal or gastric cancer in the area, patient demographics, patients' level of worry relating to symptoms as well as schedule of endoscopy

services. There is no information that plainly suggest the prevalence of one option over the others.

o References:

1. Nasseri-Moghaddam S, Malekzadeh R, Sotoudeh M, et al. Lower esophagus in dyspeptic Iranian patients. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2003; 18: 315 21.
2. Sotoudehmanesh R, Nasseri-Moghaddam S, Shirazian N, et al. Prevalence of endoscopic gastroesophageal reflux disease in a 6-year period. *Endoscopy.* 2000; 32: 33.
3. El-Serag H.B., Talley N.J. (2004) Systemic review: the prevalence and clinical course of functional dyspepsia. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 19: 643–654
4. Jones RH. Primary care research and clinical practice: gastroenterology. Postgrad Med J. 2008;84:454–458.
5. Talley NJ, Vakil N; Practice Parameters Committee of the American College of Gastroenterology. Guidelines for the management of dyspepsia. Am J Gastroenterol 2005;100:2324–2337.
6. Thomson AB, Barkun AN, Armstrong D, Chiba N, White RJ, Daniels S, Escobedo S, Chakraborty B, Sinclair P, Van Zanten SJ. The prevalence of clinically significant endoscopic findings in primary care patients with uninvestigated dyspepsia: the Canadian Adult Dyspepsia Empiric Treatment - Prompt Endoscopy (CADET-PE) study. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2003;17:1481–1491.
7. Gotthard R. Aspects on gastric acid, bile acid and treatment in patients with peptic ulcer disease and dyspepsia of unknown origin [Thesis]. Linkoping University Medical Dissertations No. 261, Linkoping, 1987.

8. Collen MJ, Loebenberg MJ. Basal gastric acid secretion in non-ulcer dyspepsia with or without duodenitis. Dig Dis Sci. 1989;34((2)):246–50.
9. El-Omar E, Penman I, Ardill JE, McColl KE. A substantial proportion of non-ulcer dyspepsia patients have the same abnormality of secretion as duodenal ulcer patients. Gut. 1995;36((4)):534–8.
10. George AA, Tsuchiyose M, Dooley CP. Sensitivity of the gastric mucosa to acid and duodenal contents in patients with non-ulcer dyspepsia. Gastroenterology. 1991;101((1)):3–6.
11. Moayyedi P, Soo S, Deeks J. et al. Eradication of Helicobacter pylori for non-ulcer dyspepsia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006;19.
12. Stanghellini V, Ghidini G, Maccarini M. et al. Fasting and postprandial gastrointestinal motility in ulcer and nonulcer dyspepsia. Gut. 1992;33((2)):184–90.
13. Cuomo R, Sarnelli G, Grasso R. et al. Functional dyspepsia symptoms, gastric emptying and satiety provocation test: Analysis of relationships. Scand J Gastroenterol. 2001;36((10)):1030–6.
14. Quigley EMM. Motility, heartburn and dyspepsia. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1997;11(Suppl 2):41–50.
15. Haug TT, Wilhelmsen I, Berstad A, Ursin H. Life events and stress in patients with functional dyspepsia compared with patients with duodenal ulcer and healthy controls. Scand J Gastroenterol. 1995;30((6)):524–30.
16. Richter JE. Stress and psychological and environmental factors in functional dyspepsia. Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl. 1991;182:40–6.
17. Talley NJ, Zinsmeister AR, Schleck CD, Melton LJ 3rd. Dyspepsia and dyspepsia subgroups: a population-based study. Gastroenterology 1992;102(4 Pt 1):1259–1268.
18. Voutilainen M, Mantynen T, Kunnamo I, Juhola M, Mecklin JP, Farkkila M. Impact of clinical symptoms and referral volume on endoscopy for detecting peptic ulcer and gastric neoplasms. Scand J Gastroenterol 2003;38:109–113.
19. Westbrook JI, Talley NJ. Diagnostic investigation rates and use of prescription and non-prescription medications amongst dyspeptics: a population-based study of 2300 Australians. Aliment Pharmacol Ther2003;17:1171–1178.
20. Sundar N, Muraleedharan V, Pandit J, Green JT, Crimmins R, Swift GL. Does endoscopy diagnose early gastrointestinal cancer in patients with uncomplicated dyspepsia? Postgrad Med J 2006;82:52–54.

21. Phull PS, Salmon CA, Park KG, Rapson T, Thompson AM, Gilbert FJ. Age threshold for endoscopy and risk of missing upper gastrointestinal malignancy—data from the Scottish audit of gastric and oesophageal cancer. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2006;23:229–233.
22. Jackman RP, Schlichting C, Carr W, Dubois A. Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* in United States Navy submarine crews. Epidemiol Infect 2006;134:460–464.
23. Everhart JE, Kruszon-Moran D, Perez-Perez GI, Tralka TS, McQuillan G. Seroprevalence and ethnic differences in *Helicobacter pylori* infection among adults in the United States. J Infect Dis 2000;181:1359–1363.
24. Macenlle Garcia R, Gayoso Diz P, Sueiro Benavides RA, Fernandez Seara J. Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in the general adult population of the province of Ourense. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2006;98:241–248.
25. Bures J, Kopacova M, Koupil I, Vorisek V, Rejchrt S, Beranek M, Seifert B, Pozler O, Zivny P, Douda T, Kolesarova M, Pinter M, Palicka V, Holcik J; European Society for Primary Care Gastroenterology. Epidemiology of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in the Czech Republic. Helicobacter 2006;11:56–65.
26. Ho B, Marshall BJ. Accurate diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori*. Serologic testing. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2000;29:853–862.
27. Loy CT, Irwig LM, Katelaris PH, Talley NJ. Do commercial serological kits for *Helicobacter pylori*infection differ in accuracy? A meta-analysis. Am J Gastroenterol 1996;91:1138–1144.
28. Thijs JC, van Zwet AA, Thijs WJ, Oey HB, Karrenbeld A, Stellaard F, Luijt DS, Meyer BC, Kleibeuker JH. Diagnostic tests for *Helicobacter pylori*: a prospective evaluation of their accuracy, without selecting a single test as the gold standard. Am J Gastroenterol 1996;91:2125–2129.
29. Gatta L, Ricci C, Tampieri A, Vaira D. Non-invasive techniques for the diagnosis of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. Clin Microbiol Infect 2003;9:489–496.
30. Thompson WG. Placebos: a review of the placebo response. Am J Gastroenterol. 2000;95((7)):1637–43.
31. Wong WM, Wong BC, Hung WK. et al. Double blind, randomised, placebo controlled study of four weeks of lansoprazole for the treatment of functional dyspepsia in Chinese patients. Gut. 2002;51((4)):502–6.
32. Talley NJ, Meineche-Schmidt V, Pare P. et al. Efficacy of omeprazole in functional dyspepsia: double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trials (the Bond and Opera studies) Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 1998;12((11)):1055–65.
33. van Zanten SV, Armstrong D, Chiba N. et al. Esomeprazole 40 mg once a day in patients with functional dyspepsia: the randomized, placebo-controlled “ENTER” trial. Am J Gastroenterol. 2006;101((9)):2096–106.
34. Veldhuyzen van Zanten SJ, Jones MJ, Verlinden M, Talley NJ. Efficacy of cisapride and domperidone in functional (nonulcer) dyspepsia: a meta-analysis. Am J Gastroenterol. 2001;96((3)):689–96.
35. Holtmann G, Talley NJ, Liebregts T, Adam B, Parow C. A placebo-controlled trial of itopride in functional dyspepsia. N Engl J Med. 2006;354((8)):832–40.
36.